

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,892	03/25/2004	Jeffrey D. Ollis	BCS03496	7408
7590 09/26/2006 GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION 101 Tournament Drive			EXAMINER	
			MANCHO, RONNIE M	
Horsham, PA	. —		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/808,892	OLLIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ronnie Mancho	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	March 2006						
	is action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	, _						
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	, morno io				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · ·	• •	FR 1 121(d)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	•	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) []	Ummon/ (DTO 442)					
X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/808,892

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5 in the reply filed on 3/30/06 is acknowledged.

Claims 6-12, 13-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking

claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3/30/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not clear what all is meant and encompassed by "*portions* of a radio broadcast". The applicant is advised to be more specific with "portion".

In claim 4, it is not clear what all is meant and encompassed by "primary band signal".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simonds et al (US 2004/0093154).

Regarding claim 1, Simonds et al (figs. 1, 2, abstract; section. 0012-0014, 0040, 0042, 0109, 0111, 0114-116) disclose a system for dynamic alternative geographic route plotting using global positional satellite data, said system comprising:

an audio tuner 34 (figs 1&2), said audio tuner tuning frequencies for reception of radio broadcast signals;

a selection recognition engine 30 coupled to said audio tuner 34, said selection recognition engine 30 monitoring said radio broadcast signals (sec 0038, 0042) for pre-defined recording triggers (presence or availability of info; sec 0042) and selectively recording portions of a radio broadcast signal (sec 0012-0014), said selection recognition engine extracting anomaly information (weather, traffic, etc; sec 0042) from said recorded portions; and

a global positional satellite device 42 (fig. 1), said global positional satellite device receiving said anomaly information and generating at least one alternative route in response to said anomaly information (road construction, etc; sec 0116).

Regarding claim 2, Simonds et al (figs. 1, 2, abstract; section. 0012-0014, 0040, 0042, 0109, 0111, 0114-116) disclose the system of claim 1 further comprising an audio capture memory coupled to said selection recognition engine, said audio capture memory storing recorded portions of said radio broadcast signal (sec. 0012-0014).

Regarding claim 3, Simonds et al (figs. 1, 2, abstract; section. 0012-0014, 0040, 0042, 0109, 0111, 0114-116) disclose the system of claim 2, wherein said audio capture memory comprises at least one of random access memory, flash memory, a hard drive, optical drive, and optical-magnetic drive (sec 0039).

Regarding claim 4, Simonds et al (figs. 1, 2, abstract; section. 0012-0014, 0040, 0042, 0109, 0111, 0114-116) disclose the system of claim 1 wherein said radio broadcast signal comprises a primary band signal.

Regarding claim 5, Simonds et al (figs. 1, 2, abstract; section. 0012-0014, 0040, 0042, 0109, 0111, 0114-116) disclose the system of claim 1 further comprising a display.

MPEP 2114

7. The statement of intended use or field of use, "tunig frequencies for", "monitoring radio broadcast signals for", "recording/storing portions of", "extracting/receiving anomaly information from", etc clauses are essentially method limitation or statement of intended or desired use. Thus, the claim as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641; In re Yanush, 177 USPQ 705; In re Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458; Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647. See MPEP § 2114 which states:

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art

apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. In re Danly, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does. Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

As set forth in MPEP § 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

Communication

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571-272-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronnie Mancho Examiner Art Unit 3663

September 15, 2006

SUPERVISOR SETENT EXAMINER